Name: _____ **Date:** _____

Answer Key: Rigid Logic, Fluid Discoveries: Advanced Scientific Inquiry for College Scholars

Moving beyond linear steps toward scholarly analysis of epistemic humility and the iterative nature of modern experimental design.

---

1. In the context of the hypothetico-deductive model, what is the primary purpose of attempting to falsify a hypothesis rather than prove it?

Answer: B) Because induction cannot provide absolute certainty, while a single counter-example can disprove a universal claim.

Based on Popperian philosophy, science progresses through falsification; one cannot prove a hypothesis is true for all cases, but a single negative result can demonstrate it is false.

2. A researcher studying neuroplasticity notices an unexpected correlation between gut microbiota and cognitive performance. This 'accidental' discovery, which leads to a new hypothesis, is an example of _____.

Answer: B) Serendipity in science

Serendipity refers to the phenomenon of making fortunate discoveries by accident, which often requires the researcher to have the background knowledge to recognize the significance of the anomaly.

3. In a robust scientific experiment, the 'null hypothesis' (H0) assumes that there is no significant relationship or effect between the variables being studied.

Answer: A) True

The null hypothesis is the default position that the effect being studied does not exist, and statistical testing determines whether to reject this position.

4. Which of the following best describes the role of 'Auxiliary Hypotheses' when an experiment yields results that contradict the main hypothesis?

Answer: C) They are assumptions about equipment or background conditions that might be the actual cause of the discrepancy.

According to the Duhem-Quine thesis, it is often impossible to test a single hypothesis in isolation because auxiliary hypotheses (assumptions about tools, environment, etc.) are also being tested.

Name: _____ **Date:** _____

5. When a researcher ensures that neither the subjects nor the individuals measuring the outcome know which group received the treatment, they are utilizing a _____ design to eliminate observer bias.

Answer: C) Double-blind

Double-blind studies are the gold standard in clinical research to prevent both participant placebo effects and researcher expectancy bias.

6. In the context of evaluating scientific literature, what defines a 'Paradigm Shift' as described by Thomas Kuhn?

Answer: B) A fundamental change in the basic concepts and experimental practices of a scientific discipline.

Kuhn argued that science does not always progress linearly but through radical shifts where the old conceptual framework is replaced by a new one.

7. A theory is logically equivalent to a hypothesis in the scientific hierarchy; both are equally supported by experimental evidence.

Answer: B) False

A theory is a broad, well-substantiated explanation of some aspect of the natural world, whereas a hypothesis is a specific, narrow, testable prediction.

8. If an experiment is 'reproducible' but not 'replicable,' what does this likely imply about the study?

Answer: A) The data can be analyzed by others with the same result, but new data collection in the same conditions fails to produce the same effect.

Reproducibility often refers to obtaining the same results with the same data/code, while replicability refers to obtaining the same results by repeating the entire experiment with new data.

9. The ethical principle of _____ requires that researchers minimize risks to participants and maximize potential benefits in the design of an experiment.

Answer: B) Beneficence

Beneficence is a core principle in the Belmont Report, governing the ethics of research involving human subjects.

10. Peer review is a step in the scientific method that occurs after the conclusion to ensure the validity, originality, and quality of the research before publication.

Name: _____ **Date:** _____

Answer: A) True

Peer review acts as a quality control mechanism where independent experts in the field evaluate the work to maintain scientific integrity.